1	KAMALA D. HARRIS Attorney General of California						
2	LINDA K. SCHNEIDER Supervising Deputy Attorney General						
3	State Bar No. 101336 AMANDA DODDS						
4	Senior Legal Analyst 110 West "A" Street, Suite 1100						
5	San Diego, CA 92101 P.O. Box 85266						
6	San Diego, CA 92186-5266 Telephone: (619) 645-2141						
7	Facsimile: (619) 645-2141 Attorneys for Complainant						
8	Anorneys for Complainani						
9	BEFORE THE BOARD OF REGISTERED NURSING DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS STATE OF CALIFORNIA						
10							
11							
12	In the Matter of the Accusation Against: Case No. 2012-66						
13 14	MA AILAINE FRANCISCO OMILLO 470 N. White Road San Jose, CA 95127 A C C U S A T I O N						
15	Registered Nurse License No. 693270						
16	Respondent.						
17							
18							
19	Complainant alleges:						
20	PARTIES						
21	1. Louise R. Bailey, M.Ed., RN (Complainant) brings this Accusation solely in her						
22	official capacity as the Executive Officer of the Board of Registered Nursing, Department of						
23	Consumer Affairs.						
24	2. On or about November 30, 2006, the Board of Registered Nursing issued Registered						
25	Nurse License Number 693270 to Ma Ailaine Francisco Omillo (Respondent). The Registered						
26	Nurse License was in full force and effect at all times relevant to the charges brought herein and						
27	will expire on April 30, 2012, unless renewed.						
28	111						
	1						

JURISDICTION

- 3. This Accusation is brought before the Board of Registered Nursing (Board), Department of Consumer Affairs, under the authority of the following laws. All section references are to the Business and Professions Code (Code) unless otherwise indicated.
- 4. Section 2750 of the Business and Professions Code (Code) provides, in pertinent part, that the Board may discipline any licensee, including a licensee holding a temporary or an inactive license, for any reason provided in Article 3 (commencing with section 2750) of the Nursing Practice Act.
- 5. Section 2764 of the Code provides, in pertinent part, that the expiration of a license shall not deprive the Board of jurisdiction to proceed with a disciplinary proceeding against the licensee or to render a decision imposing discipline on the license. Under section 2811(b) of the Code, the Board may renew an expired license at any time within eight years after the expiration.

STATUTORY PROVISIONS

6. Section 482 of the Code states:

Each board under the provisions of this code shall develop criteria to evaluate the rehabilitation of a person when:

- (a) Considering the denial of a license by the board under Section 480; or
- (b) Considering suspension or revocation of a license under Section 490.

Each board shall take into account all competent evidence of rehabilitation furnished by the applicant or licensee.

- 7. Section 490 of the Code provides, in pertinent part, that a board may suspend or revoke a license on the ground that the licensee has been convicted of a crime substantially related to the qualifications, functions, or duties of the business or profession for which the license was issued.
 - 8. Section 493 of the Code states:

Notwithstanding any other provision of law, in a proceeding conducted by a board within the department pursuant to law to deny an application for a license or to suspend or revoke a license or otherwise take disciplinary action against a person who holds a license, upon the ground that the applicant or the licensee has been convicted of a crime substantially related to the qualifications, functions, and duties of the licensee in question, the record of conviction of the crime shall be conclusive evidence of the fact that the conviction occurred, but only of that fact, and the board

1	ļ
2	
3	
4	
5	İ
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	

may inquire into the circumstances surrounding the commission of the crime in order to fix the degree of discipline or to determine if the conviction is substantially related to the qualifications, functions, and duties of the licensee in question.

As used in this section, "license" includes "certificate," "permit," "authority," and "registration."

9. Section 810 of the Code states:

- (a) It shall constitute unprofessional conduct and grounds for disciplinary action, including suspension or revocation of a license or certificate, for a health care professional to do any of the following in connection with his or her professional activities:
- (1) Knowingly present or cause to be presented any false or fraudulent claim for the payment of a loss under a contract of insurance.
- (2) Knowingly prepare, make, or subscribe any writing, with intent to present or use the same, or to allow it to be presented or used in support of any false or fraudulent claim.

10. Section 2761 of the Code states:

The board may take disciplinary action against a certified or licensed nurse or deny an application for a certificate or license for any of the following:

- (a) Unprofessional conduct, which includes, but is not limited to, the following:
- (f) Conviction of a felony or of any offense substantially related to the qualifications, functions, and duties of a registered nurse, in which event the record of the conviction shall be conclusive evidence thereof.

11. Section 2765 of the Code states:

A plea or verdict of guilty or a conviction following a plea of nolo contendere made to a charge substantially related to the qualifications, functions and duties of a registered nurse is deemed to be a conviction within the meaning of this article. The board may order the license or certificate suspended or revoked, or may decline to issue a license or certificate, when the time for appeal has elapsed, or the judgment of conviction has been affirmed on appeal or when an order granting probation is made suspending the imposition of sentence, irrespective of a subsequent order under the provisions of Section 1203.4 of the Penal Code allowing such person to withdraw his or her plea of guilty and to enter a plea of not guilty, or setting aside the verdict of guilty, or dismissing the accusation, information or indictment.

111

28

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

FIRST CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(November 8, 2010 Federal Criminal Conviction for Health Care Fraud)

- 15. Respondent has subjected her license to disciplinary action under sections 490 and 2761, subdivision (f) of the Code in that Respondent was convicted of a crime that is substantially related to the qualifications, functions, and duties of a registered nurse. The circumstances are as follows.
- a. On or about November 8, 2010, in a criminal proceeding entitled *United States of America vs. Ma Ailaine Francisco Omillo*, in U.S. District Court, Central District of California, case number CR 09-00609-GAF-3, Respondent was convicted on her plea of guilty of violating Title 18 United States Code section 1347. Respondent admitted that: (a) Respondent knowingly and willfully executed or participated in a scheme to defraud or obtain money from a health care benefit program by submitting false and fraudulent claims to that program; (b) Respondent knew that the claims being submitted to the health care benefits program were false and fraudulent; (c) the false and fraudulent claims were material, in that they would reasonably influence the health care benefits program to pay money to which the provider was not legitimately entitled; (d) Respondent acted with the intent to defraud; and (e) the false and fraudulent claims were made in connection with the delivery of, or payment for, health care benefits, items or services.
- b. As a result of the conviction, on or about November 8, 2010, Respondent was sentenced to two years probation and ordered to pay restitution in the amount of \$13,909.80.
- c. The facts that led to the conviction are that on or before March 2006,
 Respondent was employed by Medcare Plus Home Health Providers, (hereinafter "Medcare Plus"), a home health agency. Respondent was not a licensed nurse in California at the time she applied for employment with Medcare Plus, or at any time when she committed the acts described herein. Excel Plus Home Health Services (Excel Plus) and/or Unicare Health Professional (Unicare) were entities that Medcare Plus utilized to pay unlicensed individuals, such as Respondent, who were providing private duty nursing services to sick patients, many of them

¹ A home health agency (HHA) provides medical and non-medical care that is paid for with public or private funds.

23

24

25

26

27

28

children, who were beneficiaries of Medi-Cal. Medi-Cal provides reimbursement for medically necessary health care services to indigent persons in California. The California Department of Justice (DOJ) Bureau of Medi-Cal Fraud and Elder Abuse (BMFEA) conducted an investigation into the activities involving Medcare Plus, Excel Plus, and Unicare. The BMFEA investigation revealed that Respondent prepared documentation of her visits (using route sheets and/or nursing notes) with the signature lines intentionally left blank, knowing that another co-conspirator would sign the name of an actual licensed vocational nurse to make it appear that a licensed LVN had made the visit, and that Medcare Plus would seek reimbursement from Medi-Cal for an LVN's visit, rather than for the visit Respondent had actually made. On or about March 24, 2006, Respondent submitted to supervisors her home-nursing route sheets and nursing notes for a visit to a patient with her signature lines intentionally left blank. On or about April 20, 2006, Respondent received payment by Unicare for her alleged visit to the patient even though she was not a licensed vocational nurse. On or about April 19, 2006, Respondent and her co-conspirators caused to be submitted to Medi-Cal a claim for payment for LVN services provided on March 24, 2006, in the amount of \$470.56. As a result of the investigation, 44 people employed by Medcare Plus and Excel Plus were arrested in one of the largest Medi-Cal fraud cases in California history. According to the federal indictment, unlicensed and unqualified individuals, many of whom were not U.S. citizens, were sent to private homes to provide nursing services to sick and disabled children across Los Angeles. The agencies would pay the unlicensed individuals \$8 to \$12 an hour but would bill the State of California up to \$35 an hour, per nurse.

SECOND CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Unprofessional Conduct)

Respondent has subjected her license to disciplinary action under sections 810, 16. subdivisions (a)(1) and (a)(2), and 2761, subdivision (a) of the Code in that her actions, as described in paragraph 14, above, constitute unprofessional conduct in that Respondent knowingly prepared and submitted to supervisors her home-nursing route sheets and nursing notes for services she performed as an unlicensed nurse so that her employers could present a claim for payment to a public health insurance agency.

PRAYER

WHEREFORE, Complainant requests that a hearing be held on the matters herein alleged, and that following the hearing, the Board of Registered Nursing issue a decision:

- 1. Revoking or suspending Registered Nurse License Number 693270, issued to Ma Ailaine Francisco Omillo;
- 2. Ordering Ma Ailaine Francisco Omillo to pay the Board of Registered Nursing the reasonable costs of the investigation and enforcement of this case, pursuant to Business and Professions Code section 125.3;
 - 3. Taking such other and further action as deemed necessary and proper.

DATED:	H	uly	28	,2011
	7			

LOUISE R. BAILEY, M.ED., RN

Executive Officer

Board of Registered Nursing Department of Consumer Affairs

State of California
Complainant

SD2011800823